arXiv:1002.2725v1 [math.AP] 13 Feb 2010

On normalized differentials on families of curves of infinite genus

T. Kappeler[*], P. Lohrmann[*], and P. Topalov[†]

Abstract

We construct normalized differentials on families of curves of infinite genus. Such curves are used to investigate integrable PDE's such as the focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study a family of curves associated with the Zakharov-Shabat operator (*ZS operator*),

$$L(\varphi) := i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \partial_x + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

with periodic (or anti-periodic) boundary conditions. We assume that $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^2_c$ where $L^2_c = L^2 \times L^2$ and $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})$ is the Hilbert space of square integrable complex-valued functions on the circle $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. More specifically, we consider the curve,

$$\mathcal{C}_\varphi := \{(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, w^2 = \Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4\}, \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)$ is the discriminant of the ZS operator. It is known (see e.g. [11] or [4]) that

$$\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4 = -4 \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_k^-(\varphi))(\lambda - \lambda_k^+(\varphi))}{\pi_k^2}$$

where

$$\pi_k := \begin{cases} k\pi, & \text{if } k \neq 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

and where $(\lambda_k^\pm)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$, appropriately ordered and listed with multiplicities – see Section 2 for details. Note that the

---

[*] Supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

[†] Supported in part by NSF DMS-0901443

periodic spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{per}} L(\varphi)$ is pure point and hence consists only of eigenvalues. We do *not* necessarily restrict our attention to potentials of real type,

$$\varphi \in L^2_r = \{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^2_c \,|\, \varphi_1 = \overline{\varphi_2}\}\,,$$

for which $L(\varphi)$ is self-adjoint or

$$\varphi \in iL^2_r = \{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^2_c \,|\, \varphi_1 = -\overline{\varphi_2}\}\,.$$

As a subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$, the curve $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ is a topological space whereas

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet_\varphi := \mathcal{C}_\varphi \setminus \{(\lambda, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, \lambda \text{ is a multiple periodic eigenvalue of } L(\varphi)\}$$

is an open Riemann surface.

When $\varphi \in L^2_c$ varies the singularities of the curve $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ might change as well, i.e., additional multiple eigenvalues might emerge or a multiple eigenvalue could split up into several simple and/or multiple eigenvalues of smaller multiplicity. Our aim is to construct a family of holomorphic differentials on $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_\varphi$, depending analytically on φ and normalized with respect to a properly chosen infinite set of cycles on $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_\varphi$. More specifically, we prove the following result.

Let $\varphi_* \in L^2_c$ and assume that the periodic spectrum of $L(\varphi_*)$ has only eigenvalues of algebraic multiplicity one or two. Then there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of φ_* in L^2_c and a family of simple, closed, smooth, oriented curves $\Gamma_k \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{per}} L(\varphi_*)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that the closures of the domains in $\mathbb{C}$, bounded by the Γ_k's, are pairwise disjoint and for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

(H1) the domain bounded by Γ_k contains precisely the two eigenvalues $\lambda^\pm_k(\varphi)$;

(H2) there is a cycle A_k on $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_\varphi$ such that $\pi(A_k) = \Gamma_k$ where π is the projection $\pi : \mathcal{C}^\bullet_\varphi \to \mathbb{C}$, $(\lambda, w) \mapsto \lambda$.

A potential $\varphi \in L^2_c$ is called a *finite gap* potential if the periodic spectrum of $L(\varphi)$ has only finitely many simple eigenvalues. The set of finite gap potentials is dense in L^2_c – see e.g. [9]. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\varphi_* \in L^2_c$ be a finite gap potential such that all periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi_*)$ have algebraic multiplicity at most two. Then there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of φ_* in L^2_c and a family of analytic functions $\zeta_n : \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{C}$ so that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{A_m} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4}}\, d\lambda = \delta_{mn}\,. \tag{4}$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the entire function $\zeta_n(\cdot, \varphi)$ has a product representation

$$\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi) = -\frac{2}{\pi_n} \prod_{k \neq n} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}_k - \lambda}{\pi_k}\,,\;\; \tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}_k = \tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}_k(\varphi), \tag{5}$$

with $\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)} = k\pi + l^2(k)$ *uniformly in* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$*, i.e., the sequences* $(\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)}(\varphi) - k\pi)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *are bounded in* $l^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ *uniformly in* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$*. Furthermore, there exists* $N \geq 1$ *such that for any* $|k| \geq N + 1$*,* $k \neq n$*,*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)} = \tau_k + O(|\lambda_k^+ - \lambda_k^-|^2), \quad \tau_k = \frac{\lambda_k^- + \lambda_k^+}{2}, \tag{6}$$

uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and uniformly in* $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$*. For* $|k| \leq N$*,* $k \neq n$*,*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)} \in \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda| \leq (N + 1/4)\pi\}$$

uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and uniformly in* $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$*. Moreover, if* $\lambda_k^- = \lambda_k^+$ *and* $k \neq n$ *then* τ_k *is a zero of the entire function* ζ_n*.*

Applications: In [6], Theorem 1.1 is used to construct locally near generic potentials action-angle coordinates for the focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger equation significantly extending previous results in this direction obtained in [5] for the zero potential. See also [1] for the discussion of 1-gap and 2-gap potentials. Such coordinates allow to obtain various results concerning well-posedness for these equations and study their (Hamiltonian) perturbations– see [8, 10] respectively [7] where corresponding results for the KdV equation have been obtained.

In the remainder of this paper we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 2, we collect facts about the Zakharov-Shabat operator needed in the sequel. In Section 3, we construct entire functions $\zeta_n(\cdot, \varphi)$ satisfying (4)-(6) in the case φ is a finite gap potential. This construction leads to the analytic set-up for the proof of Theorem 1.1 explained in Section 4. Theorem 1.1 is then proved in Section 5 and 6 by the implicit function theorem. By a similar approach a version of Theorem 1.1 has been proved in [4] for potentials in a (small) neighborhood of L_r^2 in L_c^2. The approach in [4] had to be significantly modified as the non-degeneracy condition needed for applying the implicit function theorem is not satisfied for the potentials considered in the present paper. To keep the paper relatively simple we decided to treat the extension of Theorem 1.1 to potentials which are not necessarily finite gap potentials in a subsequent paper.

Related results: In the case of a Hill operator with all periodic eigenvalues simple, hence with the corresponding curve $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ being a Riemann surfaces of infinite genus, the existence of normalized holomorphic differentials ω_n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, on $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ can be deduced from Hodge theory (see [3, 13]). This construction does not work in the case of the ZS-operator as the differentials constructed in Theorem 1.1 are not square integrable on $\mathcal{C}_\varphi^\bullet$. Another obstacle for applying a similar approach to our situation is related to the fact that the set of finite gap potentials of ZS-operators is dense in L_c^2 ([9]), and hence any open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of φ_* in L_c^2 contains potentials with double eigenvalues. In addition, the analytic dependence of the normalized differentials on $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, their precise form (5) and the uniform localization of the zeroes (6) cannot be obtained from the general theory in [2]. Note also that a different approach was used in [12] for potentials in L_r^2.

2 Zakharov-Shabat operator

Denote by L^2_c the Cartesian product $L^2 \times L^2$ where $L^2 := L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})$ is the Hilbert space of square integrable complex-valued functions on the circle $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. For $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^2_c$ consider the Zakharov-Shabat operator,

$$L(\varphi) := i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \partial_x + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let $M = M(x, \lambda, \varphi)$ denote the fundamental 2×2 matrix of $L(\varphi)$,

$$L(\varphi)M = \lambda M,$$

satisfying the initial condition $M(0, \lambda, \varphi) = \mathrm{Id}_{2\times 2}$.

Periodic spectrum: Denote by $\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{per}}(\varphi)$ the spectrum of $L(\varphi)$ with domain

$$\mathrm{dom}_{\mathrm{per}}(L) := \{F \in H^1_{loc} \times H^1_{loc} |\, F(1) = \pm F(0)\}$$

where $H^1_{loc} \equiv H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$. This spectrum coincides with the spectrum of $L(\varphi)$ considered on $[0, 2]$ with periodic boundary conditions.

We say that two complex numbers a, b are *lexicographically ordered*, $a \preccurlyeq b$, if $[\mathrm{Re}(a) < \mathrm{Re}(b)]$ or $[\mathrm{Re}(a) = \mathrm{Re}(b)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(a) \leq \mathrm{Im}(b)]$. Similarly, $a \prec b$ if $a \preccurlyeq b$ and $a \neq b$.

The following propositions are well known − see e.g. [4, Section 3], .

Proposition 2.1. *For any $\varphi_* \in L^2_c$ there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of φ_* in L^2_c and an integer $N_0 \geq 1$ such that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, the following statements hold.*

- *(i) For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|k| \geq N_0+1$, the disk $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda - k\pi| < \pi/4\}$ contains precisely two (counted with multiplicities) periodic eigenvalues $\lambda^-_k \preccurlyeq \lambda^+_k$ of $L(\varphi)$.*
- *(ii) The disk $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda| < (N_0 + 1/4)\pi\}$ contains precisely $4N_0 + 2$ periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ counted with multiplicities.*
- *(iii) There are no other periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ than the ones listed in items (i) and (ii).*

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the neighborhood given by Proposition 2.1. Note that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$\ldots \preccurlyeq \lambda^+_{-k-1} \prec \lambda^-_{-k} \preccurlyeq \lambda^+_{-k} \prec \ldots \text{ and } \ldots \prec \lambda^-_k \preccurlyeq \lambda^+_k \prec \lambda^-_{k+1} \preccurlyeq \ldots$$

for any $k \geq N_0 + 1$.

Proposition 2.2. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, the periodic eigenvalues $(\lambda^\pm_k)_{|k|\geq N_0+1}$ of $L(\varphi)$ ordered as above satisfy*

$$\lambda^\pm_k(\varphi) = k\pi + l^2(k)$$

locally uniformly in φ, i.e. $(\lambda^\pm_k(\varphi) - k\pi)_{|k|\geq N_0+1}$ is locally bounded in l^2.

Ordering of eigenvalues: Denote by $L^2_\bullet$ the set of potentials $\varphi \in L^2_c$ so that all periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ are of algebraic multiplicity at most two. Take $\varphi_* \in L^2_\bullet$ and choose $\mathcal{W} \subseteq L^2_\bullet$ and $N_0 \geq 1$ as in Proposition 2.1. We keep the lexicographic order of the eigenvalues that appear in item (i). The remaining $4N_0+2$ eigenvalues in item (ii) are grouped in lexicographically ordered pairs of two, $\lambda_k^- \preccurlyeq \lambda_k^+$, $|k| \leq N_0$, in the following way: Choose $\lambda_{-N_0}^-$ to be the smallest[1] eigenvalue bigger than $\lambda_{-N_0-1}^+$. If $\lambda_{-N_0}^-$ is double, then set $\lambda_{-N_0}^+ := \lambda_{-N_0}^-$, otherwise denote by $\lambda_{-N_0}^+$ the smallest *simple* eigenvalue which is bigger than $\lambda_{-N_0}^-$. Next, define $\lambda_{-N_0+1}^-$ to be the smallest eigenvalue bigger than $\lambda_{-N_0}^-$ and different from $\lambda_{-N_0}^+$ and determine $\lambda_{-N_0+1}^+$ in the same fashion as $\lambda_{-N_0}^+$. Continuing in this way we arrive at a listing of the $4N_0+2$ eigenvalues so that $(\lambda_k^-)_{|k|\leq N_0}$ are in strictly increasing order,

$$\lambda_{-N_0}^- \prec \lambda_{-N_0+1}^- \prec \ldots \prec \lambda_{N_0}^-$$

and so that all double eigenvalues form a pair. By shrinking the neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ if necessary, we choose for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a simple counterclockwise oriented C^1-smooth closed curve Γ_k in $\mathbb{C}$ such that the closures $\mathcal{D}_k$ of the domains bounded by the Γ_k's are pairwise disjoint and such that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the domain bounded by Γ_k contains precisely the two eigenvalues $\lambda_k^-(\varphi) \preccurlyeq \lambda_k^+(\varphi)$ as well as a continuously differentiable simple curve $G_k = G_k(\varphi)$ connecting $\lambda_k^-(\varphi)$ with $\lambda_k^+(\varphi)$. In the case $\lambda_k^-(\varphi) = \lambda_k^+(\varphi)$, G_k is chosen to be the constant curve $\lambda_k^-(\varphi)$. For any $|k| \geq N_0+1$, we choose Γ_k to be the counterclockwise oriented boundary of the disk

$$D_k := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda - k\pi| \leq \pi/4\}$$

and $G_k := \{(1-t)\lambda_k^-(\varphi) + t\lambda_k^+(\varphi) \,|\, t \in [0,1]\}$ whereas for $|k| \leq N_0$, Γ_k is chosen to be contained in the disk $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda| \leq (N_0 + \frac{1}{4})\pi\}$. Define

$$\tau_k := (\lambda_k^- + \lambda_k^+)/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_k := \lambda_k^+ - \lambda_k^- .$$

Discriminant: Let $\Delta(\lambda,\varphi) := \mathtt{tr}\, M(1,\lambda,\varphi)$ be the trace of $M(1,\lambda,\varphi)$. It is well known that $\Delta(\lambda,\varphi)$ is an analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \times L^2_c$ (cf. [4, Section 3]). The proof of the following statement can be find in [4, Proposition 3.4].

Proposition 2.3. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\Delta^2(\lambda,\varphi) - 4 = -4 \prod_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\big(\lambda - \lambda_k^+(\varphi)\big)\big(\lambda - \lambda_k^-(\varphi)\big)}{\pi_k^2}.$$

Standard & canonical roots: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote by $\sqrt[+]{z}$ the principal branch of the square root defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{R} \,|\, z \leq 0\}$ by $\sqrt[+]{1} = 1$. We define the standard root of $(\lambda - a)(\lambda - b)$ by the following relation

$$\sqrt[s]{(\lambda-a)(\lambda-b)} = -\lambda \sqrt[+]{\Big(1 - \frac{a}{\lambda}\Big)\Big(1 - \frac{b}{\lambda}\Big)} \tag{8}$$

---

[1] With respect to the partial order $\preccurlyeq$ introduced above.

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\left|\frac{a}{\lambda}\right| \leq 1/2$ and $\left|\frac{b}{\lambda}\right| \leq 1/2$. Let $G_{[a,b]}$ be an arbitrary continuous simple curve connecting a and b. By analytic extension, (8) uniquely defines a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus G_{[a,b]}$, that we call the *standard root* of $(\lambda - a)(\lambda - b)$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus G_{[a,b]}$. One has the asymptotic formula

$$\sqrt[s]{(\lambda - a)(\lambda - b)} \ \sim \ -\lambda, \quad \text{as} \quad |\lambda| \to \infty. \tag{9}$$

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G_k$ we define the *canonical root* of $\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4$

$$\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4} := 2i \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\sqrt[s]{\left(\lambda - \lambda_k^+(\varphi)\right)\left(\lambda - \lambda_k^-(\varphi)\right)}}{\pi_k} \,. \tag{10}$$

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward and hence omitted.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, the canonical root* (10) *defines a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\sqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G_k)$.*

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, consider the curve

$$\mathcal{C}_\varphi := \{(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, w^2 = \Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4\} \tag{11}$$

as well as its canonical branch

$$\mathcal{C}_\varphi^c := \{(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\sqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G_k), w = \sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4}\} \,. \tag{12}$$

The cycle A_m, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, introduced in Section 1, is now defined more precisely as the cycle on $\mathcal{C}_\varphi^c$ whose projection onto $\mathbb{C}$ is Γ_m, $A_m = \pi^{-1}(\Gamma_m) \cap \mathcal{C}_\varphi^c$, and $\pi : \mathcal{C}_\varphi \to \mathbb{C}$, $(\lambda, w) \mapsto \lambda$, denotes the projection onto $\mathbb{C}$.

3 Finite gap potentials

In this section we construct entire functions $\zeta_n(\cdot, \varphi)$ satisfying (4)-(5) for an arbitrary finite gap potentials in $L^2_\bullet$. The treatment of this special case will lead to the set-up of the proof of Theorem 1.1, discussed in Section 4. To state the result more precisely, introduce for $\varphi \in L^2_\bullet$

$$J \equiv J(\varphi) := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \,|\, \lambda_n^-(\varphi),\ \lambda_n^+(\varphi) \text{ are simple}\} \,.$$

Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus J$, $\lambda_n^-(\varphi) = \lambda_n^+(\varphi)$ is a double eigenvalue of $L(\varphi)$. By definition, $\varphi \in L^2_\bullet$ is a finite gap potential if $J(\varphi)$ is finite.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varphi \in L^2_\bullet$ be a finite gap potential. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists an entire function $\zeta_n(\cdot, \varphi)$ so that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{A_m} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4}} \, d\lambda = \delta_{mn} \tag{13}$$

where A_m, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, are the cycles on the canonical sheet $\mathcal{C}_\varphi^c$ of $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ introduced at the end of Section 2. Further, $\zeta_n(\lambda,\varphi)$ is of the form

$$\zeta_n(\lambda,\varphi) = -\frac{2}{\pi_n}\Big(\prod_{j\in J, j\neq n} \pi_j\Big)^{-1} P_n(\lambda,\varphi) \prod_{j\notin J, j\neq n} \frac{\tau_j - \lambda}{\pi_j} \tag{14}$$

where $P_n(\lambda,\varphi)$ is a polynomial in λ of degree d, $P_n(\lambda,\varphi) = (-\lambda)^d + \ldots$, with $d = |J|$ if $n \notin J$ and $d = |J|-1$ otherwise.

We begin with a few preparations for the proof of Theorem 3.1.

For $\varphi \in L^2_\bullet$, $(\lambda_k^\pm \equiv \lambda_k^\pm(\varphi))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, and $J = J(\varphi)$ as above, introduce the compact Riemann surface

$$\Sigma_J := \mathcal{C}_J \sqcup \{\infty^\pm\} \tag{15}$$

of genus $|J|-1$ that is obtained by compactifying the affine curve

$$\mathcal{C}_J := \{(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, w^2 = \prod_{k\in J}(\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)\} \tag{16}$$

in a standard way by adding two points $\infty^\pm$ at infinity – one for each sheet. The charts in open neighborhoods of $\infty^\pm$ are defined by the local parameter $z = 1/\lambda$. Let $A_m = A_m^J$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, be cycles on the canonical sheet of Σ_J,

$$\Sigma_J^c := \{(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\sqcup_{k\in J} G_k), w = \prod_{k\in J} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)}\} \sqcup \{\infty^+\}\,, \tag{17}$$

such that $\pi_J(A_m) = \Gamma_m$, where π_J is the projection onto the first component $\pi_J : \mathcal{C}_J \to \mathbb{C}$, $(w,\lambda) \mapsto \lambda$. Consider the differential on $\mathcal{C}_J$,

$$\chi := -\frac{(-\lambda)^{l-1}}{i\sqrt{\prod_{k\in J}(\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)}}\, d\lambda \tag{18}$$

where $l := |J|$. The proof of the following lemma is straightforward and hence is omitted.

Lemma 3.1. *The differential χ extends to a meromorphic differential on Σ_J with precisely two poles. They are situated at $\infty^\pm$ and have residues $\underset{\infty^\pm}{\mathtt{Res}}\,\chi = \pm i$.*

For any $n \in J$, consider the basis of holomorphic differentials on Σ_J,

$$\omega_{ns} := \frac{P_{ns}(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\prod_{k\in J}(\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)}}\, d\lambda\,, \quad s \in J \setminus \{n\}, \tag{19}$$

where $P_{ns}(\lambda)$ are polynomials of degree $\deg P_{ns} \le l-2$, normalized by the conditions,

$$\oint_{A_m} \omega_{ns} = \delta_{ms}\,, \qquad m, s \in J \setminus \{n\}\,. \tag{20}$$

For any $n \in J$, introduce the differential,

$$\chi_n := \chi - \sum_{s \in J \setminus \{n\}} c_s \omega_{ns} \tag{21}$$

where $c_s := \oint_{A_s} \chi$. Note that χ_n has the same poles as χ and their residues coincide with the ones of χ. Furthermore, any of the cycles A_k, $k \in J$, is homologous to a connected component of the boundary of Σ_J^c in Σ_J. By Lemma 3.1, the closure of Σ_J^c in Σ_J contains precisely one pole of χ_n. It is situated at ∞^+ and is of order one with residue i. For $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus J$ note that the cycle $A_m \equiv A_m^J$ bounds a disk which is contained in $\Sigma_J^c \setminus \infty^+$. As χ_n is a holomorphic 1-form on $\Sigma_J^c \setminus \infty^+$ one concludes that $\oint_{A_m} \chi_n = 0$ for those m's. Furthermore, for any $m \in J \setminus \{n\}$ it follows from (21) that again $\oint_{A_m} \chi_n = 0$. For $m = n$, one then concludes from Stokes' formula,

$$\sum_{m \in J} \oint_{A_m} \chi_n + 2\pi \, i \operatorname*{\texttt{Res}}_{\infty^+} \chi_n = 0 \,,$$

that $\oint_{A_n} \chi_n = 2\pi$. Summarizing, we get that for any $n \in J$ and for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{A_m} \chi_n = \delta_{mn} \,. \tag{22}$$

By construction,

$$\chi_n = - \frac{(-\lambda)^{l-1} + \alpha_1^{(n)} \lambda^{l-2} + \ldots + \alpha_{l-1}^{(n)}}{i \sqrt{\prod_{k \in J} (\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)}} \, d\lambda \tag{23}$$

where $\alpha_j^{(n)}$ $(1 \le j \le l-1)$ are complex numbers.

To continue, let us consider the case where $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus J$. Then $\lambda_n^- = \lambda_n^+ = \tau_n$ and we introduce the following differential on $\mathcal{C}_J$,

$$\tilde{\chi}_n := \frac{(-\lambda)^l + \varepsilon_n (\lambda + e_n)^{l-1}}{(\lambda - \tau_n) \, i \sqrt{\prod_{k \in J} (\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)}} \, d\lambda \,, \tag{24}$$

where

$$e_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \tau_n \neq 0, \\ 1, & \tau_n = 0, \end{cases}$$

and ε_n is a complex number chosen so that

$$\frac{(-\tau_n)^l + \varepsilon_n (\tau_n + e_n)^{l-1}}{i \prod_{k \in J} \sqrt[s]{(\tau_n - \lambda_k^-)(\tau_n - \lambda_k^+)}} = -i \,. \tag{25}$$

Note that with the above definition of e_n, ε_n is well defined by (25). Let $\tau_n^\pm$ be the two points on Σ_J so that $\pi_J(\tau_n^\pm) = \tau_n$ with τ_n^+ lying on the canonical branch Σ_J^c. The following lemma follows easily from the normalization (25).

Lemma 3.2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus J$, the differential $\tilde{\chi}_n$ extends to a meromorphic differential on Σ_J with precisely four poles. They are located at $\tau_n^\pm$ and $\infty^\pm$ and their residues are $\operatorname*{\mathtt{Res}}_{\infty^\pm} \tilde{\chi}_n = \pm i$ and $\operatorname*{\mathtt{Res}}_{\tau_n^\pm} \tilde{\chi}_n = \mp i$.*

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus J$ define the differential,

$$\chi_n := \tilde{\chi}_n - \sum_{m \in J \setminus \{k_*\}} c_m^n \omega_{k_* m}\,, \qquad c_m^n := \oint_{A_m} \tilde{\chi}_n \tag{26}$$

where k_* is a fixed, but arbitrary number in J. Recall that for any $k \in J$, the cycle A_k is homologous to a connected component of the boundary of Σ_J^c in Σ_J. By Lemma 3.2, the closure of Σ_J^c in Σ_J contains precisely two poles of χ_n, at τ_n^+ and ∞^+, with residues $-i$ and i respectively. Arguing as above – in particular using again Stokes' formula – we conclude that $\oint_{A_k} \chi_n = 0$ for any $k \in J$. Using (25) we obtain that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{A_m} \chi_n = \delta_{mn}\,. \tag{27}$$

By construction,

$$\chi_n = \frac{(-\lambda)^l + \alpha_1^{(n)} \lambda^{l-1} + \ldots + \alpha_l^{(n)}}{(\lambda - \tau_n)\, i \sqrt{\prod_{k \in J} (\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)}}\, d\lambda \tag{28}$$

where $\alpha_j^{(n)}$ $(1 \le j \le l)$ are complex numbers.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. If $n \in J$ define

$$P_n(\lambda, \varphi) := (-\lambda)^{l-1} + \alpha_1^{(n)} \lambda^{l-2} + \ldots + \alpha_{l-1}^{(n)} \tag{29}$$

with $\alpha_j^{(n)}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, l-1)$ as in (23). Then for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the entire function

$$\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi) = -\frac{2}{\pi_n} \Big(\prod_{j \in J, j \ne n} \pi_j \Big)^{-1} P_n(\lambda, \varphi) \prod_{j \notin J} \frac{\tau_j - \lambda}{\pi_j}$$

satisfies the normalizing relation (13). Similarly, if $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus J$, define

$$P_n(\lambda, \varphi) := (-\lambda)^l + \alpha_1^{(n)} \lambda^{l-1} + \ldots + \alpha_l^{(n)} \tag{30}$$

with $\alpha_j^{(n)}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, l)$ as in (28). Again, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi) = -\frac{2}{\pi_n} \Big(\prod_{j \in J} \pi_j \Big)^{-1} P_n(\lambda, \varphi) \prod_{j \notin J, j \ne n} \frac{\tau_j - \lambda}{\pi_j}$$

satisfies the normalisation condition (13). □

Proposition 3.1. *There exists $T > 0$ so that the coefficients $\alpha_j^{(n)}$, $1 \leq j \leq l$, of the polynomial $P_n(\lambda, \varphi)$ in* (30) *satisfy*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus J,\, 1 \leq j \leq l} |\alpha_j^{(n)}| \leq T\,.$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus J$. It follows from the normalization condition (25), the asymptotic formula $\tau_n = n\pi + o(1)$ (see Proposition 2.2), and the property (9) of the s-root that ε_n, defined in (25), satisfies for $n \to \pm\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n &= \Big(\prod_{k \in J} \sqrt[s]{(\tau_n - \lambda_k^-)(\tau_n - \lambda_k^+)} - (-\tau_n)^l \Big) / \tau_n^{l-1} \\ &= (-1)^l \Big(\tau_n \Big(1 + O(1/n) \Big) - \tau_n \Big) = O(1)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

This estimate together with (24) and the second formula in (26) imply that

$$c_m^n = O(1/n) \tag{32}$$

uniformly in $m \in J \setminus \{k_*\}$. Furthermore, by the definition (26) of $\tilde{\chi}_n$ and (19),

$$\tilde{\chi}_n = \frac{(-\lambda)^l + \varepsilon_n (\lambda + e_n)^{l-1} + (\lambda - \tau_n)\, i \sum_{m \in J \setminus \{k_*\}} c_m^n P_{k_* m}(\lambda)}{(\lambda - \tau_n)\, i \sqrt{\prod_{k \in J} (\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)}}\,.$$

Recall that the polynomials $P_{k_* m}(\lambda)$ are of degree $\leq l - 2$ and their coefficients are independent of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, by (31), (32), and $\tau_n = n\pi + o(1)$ as $n \to \infty$, the polynomials defined by (30),

$$P_n(\lambda) = (-\lambda)^l + \varepsilon_n (\lambda + e_n)^{l-1} + \sum_{m \in J \setminus \{k_*\}} c_m^n \lambda i P_{k_* m}(\lambda) - \sum_{m \in J \setminus \{k_*\}} c_m^n \tau_n i P_{k_* m}(\lambda)$$

have coefficients bounded uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus J$. □

Let $\varphi_* \in L^2_\bullet$ be a finite gap potential and let $J \equiv J(\varphi_*) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ be the finite subset of indices so that $\lambda_k^- \prec \lambda_k^+$, $k \in J$, are the simple periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi_*)$. Choose an open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of φ_* in $L^2_\bullet$, $N_0 \geq 1$ and cycles Γ_m and A_m, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, as in Section 2. If necessary, choose $N_0 \geq 1$ larger so that the disk $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda| \leq (N_0 + \frac{1}{4})\pi\}$ contains all the simple eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k^\pm \,|\, k \in J\}$ of $L(\varphi_*)$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that for any $N \geq N_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the entire function $\zeta_n(\cdot, \varphi_*)$ can be written as follows

$$\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi_*) = -\frac{2}{\pi_n} \Big(\prod_{|j| \leq N, j \neq n} \pi_j \Big)^{-1} P_n^N(\lambda, \varphi_*) \prod_{|j| \geq N+1, j \neq n} \frac{\tau_j - \lambda}{\pi_j} \tag{33}$$

where

$$P_n^N(\lambda, \varphi_*) := P_n(\lambda, \varphi_*) \prod_{|j| \leq N, j \notin J \cup \{n\}} (\tau_j - \lambda), \qquad \tau_j := \tau_j(\varphi_*)\,. \tag{34}$$

Proposition 3.1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let* $\varphi_* \in L^2_\bullet$, $J \equiv J(\varphi_*) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, *and* $N_0 \geq 1$ *be as above. Then there exist* $N \geq N_0$ *and a compact set* $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}$ *so that the following statements hold:*

(i) *for any* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $|j| \geq N+1$, *the double eigenvalue* τ_j *is* not *a zero of the polynomial* $P^N_n(\lambda, \varphi_*)$;

(ii) *for any* $|n| \geq N+1$, *the coefficient vector* $(a^{(n)}_j)_{1\leq j\leq 2N+1}$ *of the polynomial* $P^N_n(\lambda, \varphi_*) = (-\lambda)^{2N+1} + a^{(n)}_1 \lambda^{2N} + ... + a^{(n)}_{2N+1}$ *of* (34), *lies in* $\mathcal{K}$;

(iii) *for any* $a = (a_j)_{1\leq j\leq 2N+1}$ *in* $\mathcal{K}$, *the zeroes of the polynomial*

$$Q^N(\lambda, a) := (-\lambda)^{2N+1} + a_1\lambda^{2N} + ... + a_{2N+1}$$

are contained in the disk $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda| \leq (N + \frac{1}{4})\pi\}$. *In particular, for any* $a \in \mathcal{K}$ *and any* $|j| \geq N+1$, *the double eigenvalue* τ_j *is* not *a zero of the polynomial* $Q^N(\lambda, a)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, there exists $T > 0$ so that, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus J$, the coefficient vector $\alpha^{(n)} = (\alpha^{(n)}_j)_{1\leq j\leq l}$ of the polynomial $P_n(\lambda) \equiv P_n(\lambda, \varphi_*)$ is bounded,

$$\max_{1\leq j\leq l} |\alpha^{(n)}_j| \leq T\,.$$

Choose $N \geq N_0$ so that for any $(\alpha_j)_{1\leq j\leq l} \in \mathbb{C}^l$ with $\max_{1\leq j\leq l} |\alpha_j| \leq T$ the zeroes of the polynomial $Q_\alpha(\lambda) := (-\lambda)^l + \alpha_1\lambda^{l-1} + ... + \alpha_l$ are contained in the disk $B_N = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda| \leq (N + \frac{1}{4})\pi\}$. By choosing N larger if necessary we may assume that for any $n \in J$, the zeroes of the polynomial $P_n(\lambda) \equiv P_n(\lambda, \varphi_*)$ are contained in B_N as well. In view of the definition (34) of P^N_n, this choice of $N \geq N_0$ implies (i). To define the set $\mathcal{K}$, introduce for any vector $\alpha = (\alpha_j)_{1\leq j\leq l}$ the polynomial

$$Q_\alpha(\lambda) \cdot \prod_{|j|\leq N, j\notin J} (\tau_j - \lambda) = (-\lambda)^{2N+1} + a_1\lambda^{2N} + ... + a_{2N+1}\,.$$

Then define the coefficient map

$$\Phi : \mathbb{C}^l \to \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}, \;\; \alpha \mapsto a$$

where $a = (a_j)_{1\leq j\leq 2N+1}$ and let $\mathcal{K}$ be the image of $\mathcal{B}^l_T = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^l \mid \max_{1\leq j\leq l} |\alpha_j| \leq T\}$ by the map Φ,

$$\mathcal{K} := \Phi(\mathcal{B}^l_T) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}\,.$$

As $\mathcal{B}^l_T \subseteq \mathbb{C}^l$ is compact and Φ is continuous it follows that $\mathcal{K}$ is compact. By construction, item (ii) and (iii) hold. □

4 Analytic set-up

Let $\varphi_* \in L^2_\bullet$ be a finite gap potential. As in Section 2 choose an open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of φ_* in L^2_c, $N_0 \geq 1$, and, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cycle Γ_k, as well as the curve $G_k \equiv G_k(\varphi)$ connecting the pair of eigenvalues $\lambda_k^-(\varphi) \preccurlyeq \lambda_k^+(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$. We want to construct analytic functions $\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{W}$ with the neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ shrinked if necessary such that for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4}} \, d\lambda = \delta_{mn} \, . \tag{35}$$

In order to do this we make an ansatz for $\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)$ and then determine the parameters involved by applying the implicit function theorem. The ansatz is suggested by (33) and (34).

Ansatz: Let $N \geq N_0$ be a given integer. For any $|n| \leq N$, define the entire function on $\mathbb{C} \times l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N}$,

$$f_n(\lambda, \sigma, a) := -\frac{2}{\pi_n} \Big(\prod_{|j| \leq N, j \neq n} \pi_j \Big)^{-1} Q_n^N(\lambda, a) \prod_{|j| \geq N+1} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \lambda}{\pi_j} \, , \tag{36}$$

where $\sigma := (\sigma_j)_{|j| \geq N+1} \in l^2$, $\tilde{\sigma}_j := j\pi + \sigma_j$, and

$$Q_n^N(\lambda, a) := (-\lambda)^{2N} + a_1 \lambda^{2N-1} + \ldots + a_{2N} \, ,$$

with $a := (a_1, \ldots, a_{2N})$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2N}$. Here and in the sequel, l^2 denotes the Hilbert space of complex valued sequences $(x_k)_{k \in I}$ with index set $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. It will be clear from the context what I is. Similarly, for $|n| \geq N+1$, define the entire function on $\mathbb{C} \times l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}$,

$$f_n(\lambda, \sigma, a) := -\frac{2}{\pi_n} \Big(\prod_{|j| \leq N} \pi_j \Big)^{-1} Q_n^N(\lambda, a) \prod_{|j| \geq N+1, j \neq n} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \lambda}{\pi_j} \, , \tag{37}$$

where $\sigma := (\sigma_j)_{|j| \geq N+1, j \neq n} \in l^2$, $\tilde{\sigma}_j := j\pi + \sigma_j$, and

$$Q_n^N(\lambda, a) := (-\lambda)^{2N+1} + a_1 \lambda^{2N} + \ldots + a_{2N+1} \, ,$$

with $a := (a_1, \ldots, a_{2N+1})$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2N+1}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $F^n := (F^n_m)_{m \neq n}$ where for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \neq n$,

$$F^n_m(\sigma, a, \varphi) := (n - m) \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{f_n(\lambda, \sigma, a)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4}} \, d\lambda \, . \tag{38}$$

By construction, $F^n_m(\sigma, a, \varphi)$ is an analytic function on $l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N} \times \mathcal{W}$ for $|n| \leq N$, and an analytic function on $l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}$ for $|n| \geq N+1$. For $R, r > 0$ consider the closed balls

$$B_R := \{ \sigma \in l^2 \mid \|\sigma\| \leq R \} \subseteq l^2$$

and

$$B^k_r := \{ a \in \mathbb{C}^k \mid |a| \leq r \} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^k ,$$

where $k \geq 1$ is a given integer and $|a| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^k |a_k|^2}$.

Lemma 4.1. *For any* $|n| \leq N$ *[resp.* $|n| \geq N+1$*] the map*

$$l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N} \times \mathcal{W} \ [\textit{resp. } l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}] \to l^2, \ \ (\sigma, a, \varphi) \mapsto F^n(\sigma, a, \varphi)$$

is well-defined and analytic. Moreover, for any $R, r > 0$*, and* $|m| \geq N+1$

$$F^n_m(\sigma, a, \varphi) = O(|\sigma_m| + |m\pi - \tau_m| + |\gamma_m|)\,, \tag{39}$$

uniformly in $B_R \times B_r^{2N} \times \mathcal{W}$ *[resp.* $B_R \times B_r^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}$*] and uniformly in* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $|m| \geq N+1$*,* $m \neq n$*. In particular, by shrinking the neighborhood* $\mathcal{W}$ *if necessary,* F^n *is bounded in* $B_R \times B_r^{2N} \times \mathcal{W}$ *[resp.* $B_R \times B_r^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}$*] uniformly in* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*.*

Proof. First consider the case $|n| \geq N+1$. For any $m \neq n$, the component F^n_m of F^n is analytic on $l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}$ by construction. Hence the analyticity of $F^n : l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W} \to l^2$ will follow once we prove that it is locally bounded – see e.g. Appendix A in [7]. For any $\sigma \in l^2$ and $|m| \geq N+1$ with $m \neq n$, one has

$$\frac{f_n(\lambda, \sigma, a)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4}} = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_m - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_m^-)(\lambda - \lambda_m^+)}} \mathcal{A}_n(\lambda, a, \varphi) \mathcal{B}_m(\lambda, \sigma, \varphi) \tag{40}$$

where, with $\tilde{\sigma}_n := n\pi$,

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\lambda, a, \varphi) := i \, \frac{\lambda^{2N+1} + a_1 \lambda^{2N} + \ldots + a_{2N+1}}{(\tilde{\sigma}_n - \lambda) \prod_{|j| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_j^-)(\lambda - \lambda_j^+)}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_m(\lambda, \sigma, \varphi) := \prod_{|j| \geq N+1, j \neq m} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_j^-)(\lambda - \lambda_j^+)}} \,.$$

A simple estimate shows that $\mathcal{A}_n(\lambda, a, \varphi) = O(1/|n-m|)$ uniformly on $D_m \times B_r^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}$ and uniformly in $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$, and $|n| \geq N+1$. By Lemma 7.3 in Appendix A, $\mathcal{B}_m(\lambda, \sigma, \varphi) = O(1)$ uniformly on $D_m \times B_R \times \mathcal{W}$ and uniformly in $|m| \geq N+1$. Combining these estimates for $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_m$ with (40) one gets from Lemma 7.6 in Appendix A that,

$$F^n_m(\sigma, a, \varphi) = O(\rho_m)\,, \quad \rho_m(\sigma) := \max_{\lambda \in \Gamma_m} |\tilde{\sigma}_m - \lambda|,$$

uniformly on $B_R \times B_r^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}$ and uniformly in $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$, and $|n| \geq N+1$. By shrinking the cycles Γ_m to $O(|\gamma_m|)$-neighborhoods of τ_m one gets from the triangle inequality that

$$\rho_m(\sigma) = O(|\sigma_m| + |m\pi - \tau_m| + |\gamma_m|)$$

uniformly in $\sigma \in l^2$ and uniformly in $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$. This proves estimate (39). In a similar way one shows that for $|m| \leq N$ and $|n| \geq N+1$, F^n_m is bounded on $B_R \times B_r^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}$, uniformly in $|m| \leq N$ and $|n| \geq N+1$, implying that $F^n : B_R \times B_r^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W} \to l^2$ is bounded, uniformly in $|n| \geq N+1$. The case $|n| \leq N$ is proved in a similar way. $\square$

Implicit function theorem: Choose $N \geq N_0$, a compact set $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}$ as in Corollary 3.1, and $R > 0$ so that

$$\|(\tau_j(\varphi_*) - j\pi)_{|j| \geq N+1}\| < R/2 \,. \tag{41}$$

Furthermore, choose $r > 0$ so that

$$\mathcal{K} \subseteq B_{r/2}^{2N+1} \tag{42}$$

and, for any $|n| \leq N$, the coefficient vector $(a_j^{(n)})_{1 \leq j \leq 2N}$ of the polynomial $P_n^N(\lambda, \varphi_*) = (-\lambda)^{2N} + a_1^{(n)} \lambda^{2N-1} + ... + a_{2N}^{(n)}$ in (34) is contained in $B_{r/2}^{2N}$. By shrinking the neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of φ_* in $L^2_\bullet$, if necessary, we see from Lemma 4.1 that for any $|n| \leq N$ [resp. $|n| \geq N+1$] the analytic map

$$l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N} \times \mathcal{W} \, [\,\text{resp. } l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}] \to l^2, \;\; (\sigma, a, \varphi) \mapsto F^n(\sigma, a, \varphi)$$

is bounded on $B_R \times B_r^{2N} \times \mathcal{W}$ [resp. $B_R \times B_r^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}$] uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $|n| \leq N$ [resp. $|n| \geq N+1$], denote by $a_*^{(n)}$ the coefficient vector $(a_j^{(n)})_{1 \leq j \leq 2N}$ [resp. $(a_j^{(n)})_{1 \leq j \leq 2N+1}$] of the polynomial $P_n^N(\lambda, \varphi_*)$ and let $\sigma_*^{(n)} := (\tau_j(\varphi_*) - j\pi)_{|j| \geq N+1, j \neq n}$. Note that by the choice of $r > 0$ and Corollary 3.1, $a_*^{(n)} \in B_r^{2N}$ [resp. $a_*^{(n)} \in B_r^{2N+1}$] for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The main result of Section 3 states that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$F^n(\sigma_*^{(n)}, a_*^{(n)}, \varphi_*) = 0 \,. \tag{43}$$

We now want to apply the implicit function theorem to show that for any $|n| \leq N$ [resp. $|n| \geq N+1$], there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}_n$ of φ_* and analytic functions

$$\sigma^{(n)} : \mathcal{W}_n \to l^2, \;\; \varphi \mapsto \sigma^{(n)}(\varphi)$$

and

$$a^{(n)} : \mathcal{W}_n \to \mathbb{C}^{2N} \, [\,\text{resp. } \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}]$$

such that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_n$, $F^n(\sigma^{(n)}(\varphi), a^{(n)}(\varphi), \varphi) = 0$. The function

$$\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi) := f_n(\sigma^{(n)}(\varphi), a^{(n)}(\varphi), \lambda) \tag{44}$$

then has the required properties (see Corollary 5.1 below). In Section 6 we then prove that one can choose the neighborhood $\mathcal{W}_n$ to be independent of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5 Differential of F^n

Choose N_0 and $N \geq N_0$ as in Corollary 3.1 and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let F^n be the map introduced in the previous section. In order to be able to apply the implicit function theorem to the equation $F^n(\sigma, a, \varphi) = 0$ we show that the differential of F^n w.r. to (σ, a) at the point $(\sigma_*^{(n)}, a_*^{(n)}, \varphi_*)$ is a linear isomorphism. It is convenient to denote the pair (σ, a) by a single sequence $v = (v_j)_{j \neq n}$ by inserting for $|n| \leq N$ [resp. $|n| \geq N+1$] the vector $(a_j)_{1 \leq j \leq 2N}$ [resp. $(a_j)_{1 \leq j \leq 2N+1}$] in the middle of the sequence $(\sigma_j)_{|j| \geq N+1, j \neq n}$. More precisely for $|n| \geq N+1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}$ define

$$v_k := \begin{cases} a_{N+1+k}, & |k| \leq N, \\ \sigma_k, & |k| \geq N+1. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for $|n| \leq N$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}$, define

$$v_k := \begin{cases} a_{N+1+k}, & -N \leq k < n, \\ a_{N+1+k-1}, & n < k \leq N, \\ \sigma_k, & |k| \geq N+1. \end{cases}$$

Let $v_*^{(n)}$ be the sequence corresponding to $(\sigma_*^{(n)}, a_*^{(n)})$. We now compute the partial derivative $\partial_v F^n(v_*^{(n)}, \varphi_*)$. Recall that for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \neq n$

$$F_m^n(v, \varphi) := (n-m) \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{f_n(\lambda, v)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4}} \, d\lambda \,. \tag{45}$$

First consider the case $|n| \geq N+1$. Then by (37), $\frac{(n-m) f_n(\lambda, v)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda,\varphi)^2 - 4}}$ equals,

$$\frac{i(n-m)}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda-\lambda_n^-)(\lambda-\lambda_n^+)}} \frac{Q_n^N(\lambda, v)}{\prod_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda-\lambda_k^-)(\lambda-\lambda_k^+)}} \prod_{|k| \geq N+1, k \neq n} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_k - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda-\lambda_k^-)(\lambda-\lambda_k^+)}} \,,$$

where for any $v = (\sigma, a)$ one sets $Q_n^N(\lambda, v) := Q_n^N(\lambda, a)$. Hence, by Cauchy's theorem, for any $|j| \geq N+1$ and $|m| \geq N+1$ with $m, j \neq n$

$$\partial_{v_j} F_m^n(v_*^{(n)}, \varphi_*) = \frac{2\pi(n-m) \, Q_n^N(\tau_j, a_*^{(n)})}{(\tau_n - \tau_j) \prod_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\tau_j - \lambda_k^-)(\tau_j - \lambda_k^+)}} \, \delta_{jm} \,, \tag{46}$$

where $\tau_k \equiv \tau_k(\varphi_*)$ and $\lambda_k^{\pm} \equiv \lambda_k^{\pm}(\varphi_*)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As

$$Q_n^N(\lambda, v) = (-\lambda)^{2N+1} + v_{-N}\lambda^{2N} + \dots + v_j \lambda^{N-j} + \dots + v_N$$

one has for $|j| \leq N$ and $m \neq n$,

$$\partial_{v_j} F_m^n(v_*^{(n)}, \varphi_*) = (n-m) \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{i \lambda^{N-j}}{(\tau_n - \lambda) \prod_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)}} \, d\lambda \,. \tag{47}$$

In particular, we get by Cauchy's theorem,

$$\partial_{v_j} F_m^n(v_*^{(n)}, \varphi_*) = 0 \quad \forall \, |j| \leq N, \; |m| \geq N+1, \; m \neq n \,. \tag{48}$$

Hence, (46) holds for any $j \neq n$ and any $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$. Later we will need also the case $|m| \leq N$ and $|j| \geq N+1$ with $j \neq n$,

$$\partial_{v_j} F^n_m(v^{(n)}_*, \varphi_*) = \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{i\,(n-m)\,Q^N_n(\lambda, a^{(n)}_*)}{(\tau_n - \lambda)(\tau_j - \lambda) \prod\limits_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda^-_k)(\lambda - \lambda^+_k)}}\, d\lambda\,. \tag{49}$$

Now, consider the case $|n| \leq N$. Then by (36), $\frac{(n-m) f_n(\lambda, v)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda,\varphi)^2 - 4}}$ equals

$$i(n-m) \frac{Q^N_n(\lambda, v)}{\prod\limits_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda^-_k)(\lambda - \lambda^+_k)}} \prod_{|k| \geq N+1} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_k - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda^-_k)(\lambda - \lambda^+_k)}}$$

and $Q^N_n(\lambda, v) = (-\lambda)^{2N} + v_{-N}\lambda^{2N-1} + \ldots + v_{n-1}\lambda^{N-n} + v_{n+1}\lambda^{N-n-1} + \ldots + v_N$. Hence, for any $|j| \geq N+1$, $|m| \geq N+1$,

$$\partial_{v_j} F^n_m(v^{(n)}_*, \varphi_*) = \frac{2\,\pi(n-m)\,Q^N_n(\tau_j, a^{(n)}_*)}{\prod\limits_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\tau_j - \lambda^-_k)(\tau_j - \lambda^+_k)}}\, \delta_{jm}\,. \tag{50}$$

For $|j| \leq N$ and $m, j \neq n$,

$$\partial_{v_j} F^n_m(v^{(n)}_*, \varphi_*) = (n-m) \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{i\,\lambda^{N-\delta_j-1}}{\prod\limits_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda^-_k)(\lambda - \lambda^+_k)}}\, d\lambda \tag{51}$$

where

$$\delta_j := \begin{cases} j, & j < n, \\ j-1, & j > n. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\partial_{v_j} F^n_m(v^{(n)}_*, \varphi_*) = 0$ for $|j| \leq N$, $|m| \geq N+1$. Hence (50) holds for any $j \neq n$ and $|m| \geq N+1$ with $m \neq n$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $\varphi_* \in L^2_\bullet$ *be a finite gap potential. Then for any* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*, the linear map* $\partial_v F^n(v^{(n)}_*, \varphi_*) \in \mathcal{L}(l^2, l^2)$ *is injective.*

Proof. Let us first treat the case $|n| \geq N+1$. To simplify notation write $\partial_{v_j} F^n_m$ for $\partial_{v_j} F^n_m(v^{(n)}_*, \varphi_*)$. According to (46) and (48), the infinite matrix $(\partial_{v_j} F^n_m)_{j,m \neq n}$ is in block form. By (48) the block $(\partial_{v_j} F^n_m)_{|m|,|j| \geq N+1, m,j \neq n}$ is diagonal and, by Corollary 3.1 (i), none of the diagonal elements $\partial_{v_m} F^n_m$, $|m| \geq N+1, m \neq n$ vanishes. Further, by (48), the block $(\partial_{v_j} F^n_m)_{|m| \geq N+1, m \neq n, |j| \leq N}$ vanishes. Hence, to show that $\partial_v F^n : l^2 \to l^2$ is one-to-one it suffices to show that the central block

$$M^n := \Big(\partial_{v_j} F^n_m\Big)_{|m| \leq N, |j| \leq N}$$

is non-degenerate. To prove it we want to apply Lemma 8.1 in Appendix B. In the set-up of Appendix B choose Σ be the compact Riemann surface Σ_J

of genus $g = |J| - 1$ defined by (15) in Section 3, with $J \equiv J(\varphi_*) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_k^\pm = \lambda_k^\pm(\varphi_*)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For Σ^+ we choose its canonical branch Σ_J^c, defined by (17). The set of C^1-smooth simple closed curves C_k, $0 \le k \le g$, in $(D1)$ of Appendix B is chosen to be the set of curves $\pi_J^{-1}(G_j)$, $j \in J$, oriented according to $(D1)$. Here $\pi_J : \mathcal{C}_J \to \mathbb{C}$, denotes the projection $(\lambda, w) \mapsto \lambda$. Next, introduce the holomorphic involution

$$\imath : \mathcal{C}_J \to \mathcal{C}_J, \quad (\lambda, w) \mapsto (\lambda, -w)$$

and extend it to all of Σ_J by setting $\imath(\infty^+) = \infty^-$ and $\imath(\infty^-) = \infty^+$. As sets, $\imath(C_k) = C_k$ for any $0 \le k \le g$. Finally, choose the points $\{P_k^+\}_{k=1}^s \subseteq \Sigma^+$, $s = 2N + 2 - |J|$, so that $\{P_k^+\}_{k=1}^{s-1} = \{\tau_j^+ \mid j \notin J, |j| \le N\}$ and $P_s^+ = \tau_n^+$ where $\pi_J(\tau_j^+) = \tau_j$. The cycles C_{g+k}, $k = 1, ..., s$, are chosen as in $(D4)$ of Appendix B. In view of formula (47), for $1 \le l \le 2N + 1$, we define the meromorphic differentials on Σ

$$\eta_l = \frac{\imath\, \lambda^{2N-l+1}}{(\tau_n - \lambda) \prod\limits_{|k| \le N, k \notin J} (\tau_k - \lambda) \sqrt{\prod_{k \in J} (\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)}}\, d\lambda\,. \tag{52}$$

In a straightforward way one checks that, for any $1 \le l \le 2N + 1$, η_l is holomorphic at $\infty^\pm$ and may have poles only at the points $P_k^\pm$, $1 \le k \le s$, so that the divisor (η_l) of η_l satisfies

$$(\eta_l) \ge -\sum_{k=1}^{s} (P_k^- + P_k^+).$$

Clearly, the differentials η_l, $1 \le l \le 2N+1$, are linearly independent and satisfy $\imath(\eta_l) = -\eta_l$. Hence, conditions $(D1) - (D5)$ of Appendix B are satisfied and one can apply Lemma 8.1 with $k_0 = g + s$ to conclude that the central block M^n is non-degenerate.

The case $|n| \le N$ is treated similarly. The non-degeneracy of the corresponding central block

$$M^n := \Big(\partial_{v_j} F_m^n (v_*^{(n)}, \varphi_*) \Big)_{|m| \le N, |j| \le N, m, j \ne n}$$

follows from (51) and Lemma 8.1 with $k_0 = g+s$, where Σ, Σ^+ and the cycles C_k, $0 \le k \le g$, are as above, but the points $\{P_k^+\}_{k=1}^s \subseteq \Sigma^+$, with $s = 2N + 1 - |J|$, are now chosen so that $\{P_k^+\}_{k=1}^{s-1} = \{\tau_j^+ \mid |j| \le N, j \notin J \cup \{n\}\}$ and $P_s^+ = \tau_n^+$. Finally, the cycles C_{g+k}, $1 \le k \le s$, are chosen as in $(D4)$. $\square$

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\varphi_* \in L^2_\bullet$ be a finite gap potential. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\partial_v F^n(v_*^{(n)}, \varphi_*) \in \mathcal{L}(l^2, l^2)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Consider the operator,

$$D^n := \begin{cases} \partial_{v_j} F_m^n\,, & |m| \ge N + 1 \text{ and } |j| \ge N + 1 \\ 2\,\delta_{jm}, & |m| \le N \text{ or } |j| \le N. \end{cases}$$

In view of (46) and (50), D^n is a diagonal operator and by the choice of $N \geq N_0$ (so that Corollary 3.1 holds) none of the diagonal entries of D^n vanishes. Using that by Proposition 2.2, $\tau_j = j\pi + o(1)$ as $j \to \pm\infty$ one gets from (46) and (50) that

$$\lim_{m \to \pm\infty} D^n_{mm} = 2\,. \tag{53}$$

This implies that $D^n, (D^n)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(l^2, l^2)$. Further, it follows from (46)-(51) that the range of the operator $K := \partial_v F^n - D^n$ is contained in a finite dimensional space and hence K is compact. Note that,

$$\partial_v F^n = D^n \Big(\mathtt{Id} + (D^n)^{-1} K \Big) \tag{54}$$

where $\mathtt{Id}$ is the identity on l^2. As $\partial_v F^n$ and D^n are injective we conclude from (54) that $\mathtt{Id} + (D^n)^{-1}K$ is injective. Therefore, by the Fredholm alternative, $\mathtt{Id} + (D^n)^{-1}K$ is an isomorphism. Combined with (54), it then follows that $\partial_v F^n$ is an isomorphism. □

Proposition 5.1 allows to apply the implicit function theorem leading to

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\varphi_* \in L^2_\bullet$ be a finite gap potential. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}_n$ of φ_* in $L^2_\bullet$ and an analytic function $\zeta_n : \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{W}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4}} \, d\lambda = \delta_{mn}\,. \tag{55}$$

In addition, for $\varphi = \varphi_*$, $\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi_*)$ coincides with the entire function constructed in Theorem 3.1.

Proof. Let $|n| \leq N$ [resp. $|n| \geq N+1$]. By Proposition 5.1 one can apply the implicit function theorem to the analytic function $F^n : l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N} \times \mathcal{W}$ [resp. $l^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}$] $\to l^2$ constructed in Section 4 to conclude that there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}_n$ of φ_* in $\mathcal{W}$ and analytic functions

$$\sigma^{(n)} : \mathcal{W}_n \to l^2, \quad \varphi \mapsto \sigma^{(n)}(\varphi)$$

and

$$a^{(n)} : \mathcal{W}_n \to \mathbb{C}^{2N} \, [\text{resp.}\, \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}]$$

with $\sigma^{(n)}(\varphi_*) = \sigma^{(n)}_*$ and $a^{(n)}(\varphi_*) = a^{(n)}_*$ such that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_n$,

$$F^n(\sigma^{(n)}(\varphi), a^{(n)}(\varphi), \varphi) = 0\,.$$

Hence, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_n$,

$$\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi) := f_n(\sigma^{(n)}, a^{(n)}, \varphi)$$

satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4}} \, d\lambda = 0 \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}\,.$$

To see that the normalization condition

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\Gamma_n} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda,\varphi)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda,\varphi)^2 - 4}}\, d\lambda = 1 \tag{56}$$

holds we argue as follows. First note that by construction, $\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi_*)$ coincides with the entire function ζ_n of Theorem 3.1. Thus in particular, (56) holds for $\varphi = \varphi_*$. Furthermore, by [9], the finite gap potentials are dense in L^2_c. As $L^2_\bullet$ is open in L^2_c the set of finite gap potentials in $L^2_\bullet$ is also dense in $L^2_\bullet$. By continuity, it then suffices to prove (56) for finite gap potentials in $\mathcal{W}_n$. It turns out that similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 lead to the claimed result for finite gap potentials in $\mathcal{W}_n$. Indeed, for an arbitrary finite gap potential $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_n$, denote by $J \equiv J(\varphi) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ the set of all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\lambda_k^- \neq \lambda_k^+$. First, consider the case when $n \notin J$. Then, by construction, $\frac{\zeta_n(\lambda,\varphi)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda,\varphi)^2-4}}$ equals

$$\frac{i}{\tau_n - \lambda} \frac{Q_n^N(\lambda, a^{(n)})}{\prod\limits_{|k|\leq N, k\notin J, k\neq n} (\tau_k - \lambda) \cdot \prod\limits_{k\in J} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda-\lambda_k^-)(\lambda-\lambda_k^+)}} \prod_{|k|\geq N+1, k\neq n} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)} - \lambda}{\tau_k - \lambda} \tag{57}$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)} = k\pi + \sigma_k^{(n)}$. As $\oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda,\varphi)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda,\varphi)^2-4}}\, d\lambda = 0$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}$ one concludes that the the residue of (57) at τ_k vanishes for any $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus (J \cup \{n\})$. Using that $\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)} = k\pi + o(1)$ as $k \to \pm\infty$ we get that

$$\frac{\zeta_n(\lambda,\varphi)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda,\varphi)^2 - 4}} = \frac{i}{\tau_n - \lambda} \frac{Q(\lambda)}{\prod\limits_{k\in J} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)}}$$

where $Q(\lambda) = (-\lambda)^{|J|} + \cdots$ is a polynomial of degree $|J|$. Consider the Abelian differential

$$\xi := \frac{i}{\tau_n - \lambda} \frac{Q(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\prod\limits_{k\in J} (\lambda - \lambda_k^-)(\lambda - \lambda_k^+)}}\, d\lambda\,.$$

It meromorphically extends to the compact Riemann surface Σ_J introduced in Section 3 and has precisely four simple poles. They are located at $\tau_n^\pm$ and $\infty^\pm$. Recall that $\pi_J(\tau_n^\pm) = \tau_n$ and τ_n^+ lies on the canonical branch Σ_J^c of Σ_J (see Section 3). A straightforward computation shows that $\underset{\infty^\pm}{\mathtt{Res}}\, \xi = \pm i$. Finally, using that $\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{A_m} \xi = 0$ for any $m \in J$ and that by Stokes' formula

$$\sum_{m\in J} \oint_{A_m} \xi + 2\pi i \underset{\tau_n^+}{\mathtt{Res}}\, \xi + 2\pi i \underset{\infty^+}{\mathtt{Res}}\, \xi = 0$$

one concludes that $\underset{\tau_n^+}{\mathtt{Res}}\, \xi = -i$. This completes the proof of the normalization condition (56) when $n \notin J$. The case $n \in J$ is treated similarly. $\square$

6 Uniformity

To prove Theorem 1.1 it remains to show that the neighborhoods $\mathcal{W}_n$ of $\varphi_* \in L^2_\bullet$ of Corollary 5.1 can be chosen independently of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose N_0 and $N \geq N_0$ as in the implicit function theorem part of Section 4. First note that it suffices to show that $\mathcal{W}_n$ can be chosen independently of n for any $|n| \geq N+1$. For this purpose we want to study the asymptotics of $(\partial_{v_j} F^n_m)_{m,j}$ for $|n|$ large. To this end introduce for $a = (a_j)_{1 \leq j \leq 2N+1}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2N+1}$ the infinite matrix

$$\mathcal{F}^\infty(a) := (\mathcal{F}^\infty_{mj}(a))_{m,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

where, for $|m| \geq N+1$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathcal{F}^\infty_{mj}(a) := \frac{2\, Q^N_n(\tau_j, a)}{\prod_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\tau_j - \lambda^-_k)(\tau_j - \lambda^+_k)}}\, \delta_{jm}\,, \tag{58}$$

for $|m| \leq N$ and $|j| \leq N$,

$$\mathcal{F}^\infty_{mj}(a) := \frac{i}{\pi} \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{\lambda^{N-j}}{\prod_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda^-_k)(\lambda - \lambda^+_k)}}\, d\lambda\,, \tag{59}$$

and, for $|m| \leq N$ and $|j| \geq N+1$,

$$\mathcal{F}^\infty_{mj}(a) := \frac{i}{\pi} \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{Q^N_n(\lambda, a)}{(\tau_j - \lambda) \prod_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda^-_k)(\lambda - \lambda^+_k)}}\, d\lambda\,. \tag{60}$$

Here $Q^N_n(\lambda, a) = (-\lambda)^{2N+1} + a_1 \lambda^{2N} + ... + a_{2N+1}$, $\lambda^\pm_k \equiv \lambda^\pm_k(\varphi_*)$, and $\tau_k \equiv \tau_k(\varphi_*)$.

We remark that the entries $\mathcal{F}^\infty_{mj}(a)$ with $m, j \neq n$ in (58), (59), and (60) are formally obtained from (46), (47), and (49) by replacing $a^{(n)}_*$ with a and then taking the limit as $n \to \infty$.

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}$ be the compact set introduced in Corollary 3.1.

Lemma 6.1. *For any $a \in \mathcal{K}$, the operator $\mathcal{F}^\infty(a) \in \mathcal{L}(l^2, l^2)$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, there exists $0 < C < \infty$ so that $\|\mathcal{F}^\infty(a)^{-1}\| \leq C$ for any $a \in \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 and taking into account formulas (58) and (59) one concludes from Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 8.1 that for any $a \in \mathcal{K}$, the map $\mathcal{F}^\infty(a) : l^2 \to l^2$ is injective. More precisely we apply Lemma 8.1 with Σ^+ being the canonical branch of the compact Riemann surface $\Sigma := \Sigma_J$, introduced in Section 3, and $\{P^\pm_k\}^s_{k=1}$ being points in Σ with $s = 2N + 2 - |J|$, so that $\{P^\pm_k\}^{s-1}_{k=1} = \{\tau^\pm_j(\varphi_*) \,|\, j \notin J, |j| \leq N\}$ and $P^\pm_s = \infty^\pm$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 5.1 one concludes that $\mathcal{F}^\infty(a)$ is a linear isomorphism for any $a \in \mathcal{K}$. As

$$\mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \to \mathcal{L}(l^2, l^2), \quad a \mapsto \mathcal{F}^\infty(a)\,,$$

is continuous[2] and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}$ is compact, it follows that there exists $C > 0$ so that $\|\mathcal{F}^\infty(a)^{-1}\| \le C$ for any $a \in \mathcal{K}$. $\square$

In the sequel we also need to consider certain restrictions of the operator $\mathcal{F}^\infty(a)$. For any $|n| \ge N+1$, denote by $\mathcal{F}^\infty_{,n}(a)$ the restriction of $\mathcal{F}^\infty(a)$ to $l^2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}, \mathbb{C})$,

$$\mathcal{F}^\infty_{,n}(a) : l^2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}, \mathbb{C}) \to l^2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}, \mathbb{C}), \quad (\xi_l)_{l \ne n} \mapsto \Big(\sum_{l \ne n} \mathcal{F}^\infty_{ml} \xi_l \Big)_{m \ne n} .$$

Using the block structure of $\mathcal{F}^\infty(a)$ one easily gets

Corollary 6.1. *For any $|n| \ge N+1$ and any $a \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{F}^\infty_{,n}(a)$ is a linear isomorphism and*

$$(\mathcal{F}^\infty_{,n}(a))^{-1} = (\mathcal{F}^\infty(a)^{-1})_{,n} .$$

Combining Lemma 6.1 with Corollary 6.1 we get

Corollary 6.2. *For any $a \in \mathcal{K}$ and any $|n| \ge N+1$, the operator $\mathcal{F}^\infty_{,n}(a) \in \mathcal{L}(l^2, l^2)$ is an isomorphism. Furthermore, there exists $0 < C < \infty$ so that for any $a \in \mathcal{K}$ and $|n| \ge N+1$,*

$$\|\mathcal{F}^\infty_{,n}(a)^{-1}\| \le C .$$

For any $|n| \ge N+1$, define

$$\mathcal{F}^n : \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \to \mathcal{L}(l^2, l^2), \quad a \mapsto \Big(\partial_{v_j} F^n_m(\sigma^{(n)}_*, a, \varphi_*) \Big)_{j,m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}} \tag{61}$$

where $\sigma^{(n)}_* = (\tau_k - k\pi)_{|k| \ge N+1, k \ne n}$.

Lemma 6.2. *For $a \in \mathcal{K}$,*

$$\mathcal{F}^n(a) - \mathcal{F}^\infty_{,n}(a) \to 0 \quad \textit{as} \quad n \to \pm\infty$$

in $\mathcal{L}(l^2, l^2)$, uniformly on $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof. Assume that $|n| \ge N+1$. Arguing as for the derivation of (46), (47), and (49) one gets for any $|m| \ge N+1$, $m \ne n$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}$

$$\mathcal{F}^n_{mj}(a) = \frac{2\,\pi(n-m)\, Q^N_n(\tau_j, a)}{(\tau_n - \tau_j) \prod_{|k| \le N} \sqrt[s]{(\tau_j - \lambda^-_k)(\tau_j - \lambda^+_k)}} \delta_{jm} \tag{62}$$

where $Q^N_n(\lambda, a) = (-\lambda)^{2N+1} + a_1 \lambda^{2N} + ... + a_{2N+1}$; for $|m| \le N$ and $|j| \le N$,

$$\mathcal{F}^n_{mj}(a) = (n-m) \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{i\, \lambda^{N-j}}{(\tau_n - \lambda) \prod_{|k| \le N} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda^-_k)(\lambda - \lambda^+_k)}} d\lambda , \tag{63}$$

---

[2] This follows directly from (58)-(60).

whereas for $|m| \leq N$ and $|j| \geq N+1$ with $j \neq n$,

$$\mathcal{F}^n_{mj}(a) = (n-m) \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{i\, Q^N_n(\lambda, a)}{(\tau_n - \lambda)(\tau_j - \lambda) \prod\limits_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda^-_k)(\lambda - \lambda^+_k)}}\, d\lambda\,. \tag{64}$$

Using (60), (64), and the asymptotic formula for τ_n, $\tau_n = n\pi + o(1)$, $n \to \pm\infty$, one gets for $|m| \leq N$, $|j| \geq N+1$ with $j \neq n$, and $|n| \geq N+1$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}^n_{mj} - \mathcal{F}^\infty_{mj}| &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{\left(\frac{\pi(n-m)}{\tau_n - \lambda} - 1\right) Q^N_n(\lambda, a)}{(\tau_j - \lambda) \prod\limits_{|k| \leq N} \sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda^-_k)(\lambda - \lambda^+_k)}}\, d\lambda \right| \\ &= O\Big(\frac{1}{(n-m)(j-m)}\Big)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

uniformly in $|n| \geq N+1$, $|m| \leq N$, $|j| \geq N+1$, and $a \in \mathcal{K}$. Similarly, using (59) and (63) and the asymptotics of τ_n as $n \to \pm\infty$ one gets for $|m| \leq N$, $|j| \leq N$, and $|n| \geq N+1$

$$|\mathcal{F}^n_{mj} - \mathcal{F}^\infty_{mj}| = O\Big(\frac{1}{n-m}\Big) \tag{66}$$

uniformly in $a \in \mathcal{K}$ and $|n| \geq N+1$. Finally, (58), (62), and the asymptotics of τ_n imply that uniformly in $|n| \geq N+1$, $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$, and $a \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$|\mathcal{F}^n_{mm} - \mathcal{F}^\infty_{mm}| = O\Big(\frac{r_n + r_m}{|n-m|}\Big), \tag{67}$$

where for $|j| \geq N+1$, $r_j := |\tau_j - j\pi|$. Furthermore, for any $|m| \leq N$, one gets from (65) and (66) that

$$\|\mathcal{F}^n_{m\bullet} - \mathcal{F}^\infty_{m\bullet}\| = \Big(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \neq n} |\mathcal{F}^n_{mj} - \mathcal{F}^\infty_{mj}|^2\Big)^{1/2} = O\Big(\frac{1}{|n-N|}\Big) \tag{68}$$

uniformly in $|n| \geq N+1$ and $a \in \mathcal{K}$. Using (67), one concludes that

$$\sup_{|m| \geq N+1, m \neq n} |\mathcal{F}^n_{mm} - \mathcal{F}^\infty_{mm}| = O\Big(r_n + \max_{j \neq 0}\Big(\frac{r_{n-j}}{|j|}\Big)\Big)$$

uniformly in $|n| \geq N+1$ and $a \in \mathcal{K}$. Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{j \neq 0}\Big(\frac{r_{n-j}}{|j|}\Big) &\leq \max_{0 < |j| \leq n/2}\Big(\frac{r_{n-j}}{|j|}\Big) + \max_{|j| > n/2}\Big(\frac{r_{n-j}}{|j|}\Big) \\ &\leq \max_{|j| \geq n/2} r_j + 2 \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} r_j / n = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \pm\infty$. Combining the estimates obtained it follows that

$$\sup_{|m| \geq N+1, m \neq n} |\mathcal{F}^n_{mm} - \mathcal{F}^\infty_{mm}| = o(1), \quad n \to \pm\infty \tag{69}$$

uniformly in $a \in \mathcal{K}$. The claimed estimate now follows from (68) and (69). □

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.2 and Corollary 6.2 one obtains

Corollary 6.3. *There exist* $0 < C < \infty$ *and* $N_1 \geq N$ *so that for any* $|n| \geq N_1$ *and* $a \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{F}^n(a) : l^2 \to l^2$ *is a linear isomorphism and*

$$\|\mathcal{F}^n(a)^{-1}\| \leq C \tag{70}$$

uniformly on $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Choosing N greater, if necessary, we obtain from Corollary 6.3 that (70) holds for any $|n| \geq N+1$. In view of Corollary 5.1 it remains to be proved that the neighborhoods $\mathcal{W}_n$ of φ_* in $\mathcal{W}$ with $|n| \geq N+1$ can be chosen independently of n.

Recall that for any $|n| \geq N+1$ the sequence $\sigma_*^{(n)} = (\tau_j(\varphi_*) - j\pi)_{|j| \geq N+1, j \neq n}$ belongs to the space

$$l^2_{N,n} := \{x = (x_j)_{|j| \geq N+1, j \neq n} \,|\, \|x\|_{N,n} < \infty\}$$

where $\|x\|_{N,n} := \left(\sum_{|j| \geq N+1, j \neq n} |x_j|^2\right)^{1/2}$. Let

$$l^2_N := \{x = (x_j)_{|j| \geq N+1} \,|\, \|x\|_N < \infty\}$$

with $\|x\|_N := \left(\sum_{|j| \geq N+1} |x_j|^2\right)^{1/2}$. For any $n \leq -N-1$ consider the linear isomorphism $\imath_n : l^2_{N,n} \to l^2_N$, defined for any $x = (x_j)_{|j| \geq N+1, j \neq n} \in l^2_{N,n}$ by

$$(\imath_n(x))_j = \begin{cases} x_{j-1}, & j \leq n \\ x_j, & j > n \end{cases}$$

and similarly for $n \geq N+1$,

$$(\imath_n(x))_j = \begin{cases} x_j, & j < n \\ x_{j+1}, & j \geq n \end{cases} .$$

Clearly, for any $|n| \geq N+1$, $\imath_n$ is an isometry, i.e.,

$$\|\imath_n(x)\|_N = \|x\|_{N,n} .$$

Using the isometries $\imath_n$ we identify $l^2_{N,n}$ with l^2_N for any $|n| \geq N+1$ and simply write $\sigma_*^{(n)} \in l^2_N$. The functions F^n are then analytic and bounded uniformly in $|n| \geq N+1$ on the set

$$B_R \times B_r^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W} \subseteq l^2_N \times \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \times L^2_c$$

where now $B_R = \{x \in l^2_N \,|\, \|x\|_N \leq R\}$. By construction – see (41), (42) – for any $|n| \geq N+1$

$$\|\sigma_*^{(n)}\|_N \leq R/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K} \subseteq B_{r/2}^{2N+1} . \tag{71}$$

Denote by $\|\!|\cdot|\!\|$ the canonical norm of the Cartesian product, $l^2_N \times \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \times L^2_c$, and let

$$z_n := (\sigma_*^{(n)}, a_*^{(n)}, \varphi_*)$$

for $|n| \geq N+1$. By Corollary 3.1 (ii), $a_*^{(n)} \in \mathcal{K}$. Hence by (71), one can choose $\rho > 0$ such that for any $|n| \geq N+1$, the ball in $l_N^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \times L_c^2$ of radius ρ, centered at z_n,

$$B_\rho(z_n) := \{z \in l_N^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \times L_c^2 \,:\, \|z - z_n\| \leq \rho\}\,,$$

is contained in $B_R \times B_r^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}$. As F^n is bounded on $B_R \times B_r^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W}$ uniformly in $|n| \geq N+1$, we obtain by Cauchy's estimate (cf. [7, Lemma A.2, Appendix A]) that the $\mathcal{L}(l^2, l^2)$-norm of the derivative $\partial_v F^n$ is bounded on $B_{\rho/2}(z_n)$ by a constant independent of $|n| \geq N+1$. Applying Cauchy's estimate once more we see that the analytic map

$$B_R \times B_r^{2N+1} \times \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{L}(l^2, l^2), \;\; (\sigma, a, \varphi) \mapsto (\partial_v F^n)(\sigma, a, \varphi)$$

is Lipschitz continuous on $O_{\rho/4}(z_n)$ with a Lipschitz constant independent of $|n| \geq N+1$. Together with Corollary 6.3 one concludes that there exist $0 < C < \infty$ and $0 < \rho_1 < \rho/4$ such that for any $|n| \geq N+1$ and $z \in O_{\rho_1}(z_n)$,

$$\|(\partial_v F^n(z))^{-1}\| \leq 2C < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|\partial_v F^n(z)\| \leq 2C < \infty\,. \tag{72}$$

It then follows from the uniform estimates (72) and the implicit function theorem that one can choose the neighborhoods $\mathcal{W}_n$ in Corollary (5.1) independently of $|n| \geq N+1$. Denote this neighborhood by $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and set $\mathcal{W} := \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \bigcap \Big(\cap_{|n|\leq N} \mathcal{W}_n\Big)$. By construction, $\zeta_n : \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi) = -\frac{2}{\pi_n} \prod_{k \neq n} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)} - \lambda}{\pi_k}\,, \quad \tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)} = \tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)}(\varphi), \tag{73}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{A_m} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4}}\, d\lambda = \delta_{mn} \;\; \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}\,. \tag{74}$$

In addition, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $\sigma^{(n)} : \mathcal{W} \to l^2$,

$$\varphi \mapsto (\sigma_k^{(n)}(\varphi))_{|k| \geq N+1, k \neq n} \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma_k^{(n)} = \tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)} - k\pi \tag{75}$$

is analytic and the roots $\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(n)}$, $|k| \leq N$, of ζ_n are contained in the disk $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda| \leq (N + \frac{1}{4})\pi\}$.

Now, we will prove the uniform estimate (6). For any $m \neq n$, equation (74) can be written as

$$\oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(n)} - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_m^-)(\lambda - \lambda_m^+)}}\, \chi_m^n(\lambda, \varphi)\, d\lambda = 0 \tag{76}$$

where

$$\chi_m^n(\lambda, \varphi) := \frac{\pi(n-m)}{\tilde{\sigma}_n^{(n)} - \lambda} \prod_{j \neq m} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j^{(n)} - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_j^-)(\lambda - \lambda_j^+)}} \tag{77}$$

with $\tilde{\sigma}_n^{(n)} := n\pi$. By shrinking the neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$, if necessary, we conclude from Lemma 7.3 that the function χ_m^n is bounded on $\mathcal{D}_m \times \mathcal{W}$ by a constant independent of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$. In addition, by shrinking the neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ once more and choosing $N \geq N_0$ greater if necessary we can ensure, using Lemma 7.5, that for any $|m| \geq N+1$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$\tau_m,\, \lambda_m^{\pm} \in \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda - m\pi| \leq \pi/8\}\,.$$

Assume that $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$. For any $(\lambda, \varphi) \in \mathcal{D}_m \times \mathcal{W}$ we have

$$\chi_m^n(\lambda, \varphi) = \chi_m^n(\tau_m, \varphi) + (\lambda - \tau_m) g_m^n(\lambda, \varphi) \tag{78}$$

where $g_m^n(\lambda) := \int_0^1 \dot{\chi}_m^n(\tau_m + (\lambda - \tau_m)t)\,dt$ and $\dot{\chi}_m^n$ denotes the derivative of χ_m^n with respect to λ. Using that χ_m^n is bounded on $\mathcal{D}_m \times \mathcal{W}$ uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$, Cauchy's estimate for $\dot{\chi}_m^n$ implies that g_m^n is bounded on $(\lambda, \varphi) \in \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda - m\pi| \leq \pi/8\} \times \mathcal{W}$ uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$. As

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_m} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(n)} - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_m^-)(\lambda - \lambda_m^+)}}\, d\lambda = \tau_m - \tilde{\sigma}_m^{(n)}$$

formulas (76)-(78) then lead to

$$(\tau_m - \tilde{\sigma}_m^{(n)})\chi_m^n(\tau_m, \varphi) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_m'} \frac{(\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(n)} - \lambda)(\lambda - \tau_m)}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_m^-)(\lambda - \lambda_m^+)}}\, g_m^n(\lambda, \varphi)\, d\lambda = 0 \tag{79}$$

where $\Gamma_m' := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda - m\pi| = \pi/8\}$. It follows from Lemma 7.4 and Lemma 7.5 that by shrinking the neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$, if necessary, once more we can find $c > 0$ and $m_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\chi_m^n(\tau_m, \varphi)| > c > 0 \tag{80}$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$. As by construction, $\|(\sigma_m^{(n)})_{|m| \geq N+1}\| < R$, Lemma 7.6 shows that the integral in (79) is of order $O(\gamma_m)$ uniformly for $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$. This together with (79) and (80) implies that $\tau_m - \tilde{\sigma}_m^{(n)} = O(\gamma_m)$ uniformly in $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$. Using Lemma 7.6 once more we then conclude that uniformly for $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|m| \geq N+1$, $m \neq n$,

$$\oint_{\Gamma_m'} \frac{(\tilde{\sigma}_m^{(n)} - \lambda)(\lambda - \tau_m)}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_m^-)(\lambda - \lambda_m^+)}}\, g_m^n(\lambda, \varphi)\, d\lambda = O(\gamma_m^2)\,. \tag{81}$$

Estimate (6) then follows from (79), (81), and (80).
The last statement of the theorem follows directly from (10), (73), (74), and Cauchy's formula. $\square$

7 Appendix A: Estimates on products

In this Appendix we collect some technical lemmas used in the main body of the paper.

Lemma 7.1. *For* $a = (a_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \in l^1$,

$$\Big|\prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}(1+a_j) - 1\Big| \le \|a\|_{l^1}\exp(\|a\|_{l^1})\,, \tag{82}$$

where $\|a\|_{l^1} = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}|a_j|$.

Proof. As $a \in l^1$, the product $\prod\limits_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}(1+a_j)$ converges absolutely. If $a_j = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ except finitely many then by the triangle inequality,

$$\Big|\prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}(1+a_j) - 1\Big| \le \prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}(1+|a_j|) - 1\,. \tag{83}$$

By a limiting argument one sees that this inequality holds also in the case of an arbitrary element $a \in l^1$. As $\log(1+x) \le x$ for $x \ge 0$, one gets

$$\prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}(1+|a_j|) = \exp\Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\log(1+|a_j|)\Big) \le \exp(\|a\|_{l^1})\,. \tag{84}$$

By the Taylor expansion of e^x at zero, one has

$$0 \le e^x - 1 \le xe^x \;\; \forall x \ge 0,$$

implying (82), by combining (83) and (84). □

Lemma 7.2. *For* $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \in l^2$ *and any* $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\prod_{j\ne m}\Big(1 + \frac{\sigma_j}{j-m}\Big) = 1 + r_m(\sigma)\,,$$

where

$$|r_m(\sigma)| \le 2\,\|\sigma\|\exp(2\|\sigma\|) \tag{85}$$

and $\|\sigma\| = \Big(\sum_j|\sigma_j|^2\Big)^{1/2}$. *Moreover, for* $|m| \ge 2$,

$$|r_m(\sigma)| \le 2\Big(\frac{\|\sigma\|}{(|m|-1)^{1/2}} + \|T_m(\sigma)\|\Big)\exp(2\|\sigma\|) \tag{86}$$

with $T_m(\sigma) := (\sigma_j)_{|j|\ge|m|/2}$.

Proof. Consider the sequence $a^{(m)} = (a_j^{(m)})_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$a_j^{(m)} := \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_j}{j-m} & j \neq m \\ 0 & j = m \end{cases} .$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\|a^{(m)}\|_{l^1} \leq \|\sigma\| \Big(\sum_{j\neq m} \frac{1}{|j-m|^2} \Big)^{1/2} \leq 2\|\sigma\| . \tag{87}$$

Combining this with Lemma 7.1 we obtain (85). In fact, (87) can be improved,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a^{(m)}\|_{l^1} &\leq \|\sigma\| \Big(\sum_{|j-m|>|m|/2} \frac{1}{|j-m|^2} \Big)^{1/2} \\ &+ \Big(\sum_{1\leq|j-m|\leq|m|/2} \frac{1}{|j-m|^2} \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\sum_{|j|\geq|m|/2} |\sigma_j|^2 \Big)^{1/2} . \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

For $|m| \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Big(\sum_{|j-m|>|m|/2} \frac{1}{|j-m|^2} \Big)^{1/2} &= \Big(2 \sum_{k\geq\frac{|m|}{2}+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{k^2} \Big)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \Big(2 \sum_{k\geq\frac{|m|}{2}+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(k-1)k} \Big)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \Big(\frac{4}{|m|-1} \Big)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

and

$$\Big(\sum_{1\leq|j-m|\leq|m|/2} \frac{1}{|j-m|^2} \Big)^{1/2} \leq \Big(2 \sum_{k\geq1} \frac{1}{k^2} \Big)^{1/2} \leq 2. \tag{90}$$

Combining (88) with (89) and (90) we get,

$$\|a^{(m)}\|_{l^1} \leq 2 \Big(\frac{\|\sigma\|}{(|m|-1)^{1/2}} + \|T_m(\sigma)\| \Big) . \tag{91}$$

Finally, (86) follows from Lemma 7.1, (87), and (91). □

Let $\varphi_* \in L^2_\bullet$. Choose an open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of φ_* in $L^2_\bullet$, $N_0 \geq 1$, and cycles Γ_m ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) as in Section 2. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{D}_m$ is the closure of the domain bounded by Γ_m.[3]

Lemma 7.3. *Let $N \geq N_0$ and $R > 0$. Then there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of φ_* in $\mathcal{W}$ and $0 < C < \infty$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any $(\lambda, \sigma, \varphi) \in$*

---

[3] Note that for $|m| \geq N_0 + 1$, $\mathcal{D}_m$ is the disk D_m.

$\mathcal{D}_m \times B_R \times \mathcal{V}$,

$$\left| \prod_{|j|\geq N+1, j\neq m} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda-\lambda_j^-(\varphi))(\lambda-\lambda_j^+(\varphi))}} \right| \leq C\,,$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_j = j\pi + \sigma_j$ and $B_R = \{\sigma \in l^2 \mid \|\sigma\| \leq R\}$.

Proof. Consider first the case $|m| \geq N+1$. Then for any $\sigma \in l^2$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, $\lambda \in D_m$, and $|j| \geq N+1$ with $j \neq m$

$$\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda-\lambda_j^-)(\lambda-\lambda_j^+)}} = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \lambda}{\tau_j - \lambda} \cdot \frac{\tau_j - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda-\lambda_j^-)(\lambda-\lambda_j^+)}}\,, \tag{92}$$

$$\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \lambda}{\tau_j - \lambda} = 1 + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \tau_j}{\tau_j - \lambda} = 1 + O\Big(\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \tau_j}{j-m}\Big) \tag{93}$$

and by the choice of $\mathcal{W}$ in Section 2

$$\frac{\tau_j - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda-\lambda_j^-)(\lambda-\lambda_j^+)}} = \sqrt[+]{1 + \frac{\gamma_j^2}{4(\lambda-\lambda_j^-)(\lambda-\lambda_j^+)}} = 1 + O\Big(\frac{\gamma_j^2}{(m-j)^2}\Big) \tag{94}$$

where the constants in (93) and (94) depend only on the choice of the open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of φ_* and N. Combining (92) with (93) and (94) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda-\lambda_j^-)(\lambda-\lambda_j^+)}} &= 1 + O\Big(\frac{|\sigma_j| + |j\pi - \tau_j|}{|m-j|}\Big) + O\Big(\frac{|\gamma_j|^2}{|m-j|^2}\Big) && (95) \\ &= 1 + O\Big(\frac{|\sigma_j| + |j\pi - \tau_j| + |\gamma_j|}{|m-j|}\Big)\,. && (96) \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 2.2 we choose a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of φ_* in $\mathcal{W}$ so that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$, the sequence $(\lambda_j^{\pm}(\varphi) - j\pi)_{|j|\geq N_0+1}$ is bounded in l^2. The statement of the Lemma for $|m| \geq N+1$ then follows from (96) and inequality (85) of Lemma 7.2. The case $|m| \leq N$ is treated similarly. ☐

Lemma 7.4. *Let $N \geq N_0$ and $\sigma_0 \in l^2$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $m_0 \geq 1$, an open neighborhood U of σ_0 in l^2, and an open neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of φ_* in $\mathcal{W}$ such that for any $|m| \geq m_0$ and $(\lambda, \sigma, \varphi) \in \mathcal{D}_m \times U \times \mathcal{V}$*

$$\left| 1 - \prod_{|j|\geq N+1, j\neq m} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda-\lambda_j^-(\varphi))(\lambda-\lambda_j^+(\varphi))}} \right| \leq \varepsilon\,,$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_j = j\pi + \sigma_j$.

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 7.3 we see that formula (95) holds for any $|m| \geq N_0 + 1$, $(\lambda, \sigma, \varphi) \in D_m \times l^2 \times \mathcal{W}$, and $|j| \geq N + 1$ with $j \neq m$. As a consequence,

$$\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_j - \lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda - \lambda_j^-)(\lambda - \lambda_j^+)}} = 1 + O\Big(\frac{|\sigma_j| + |\tau_j - j\pi| + |\gamma_j|^2}{|m - j|}\Big) \tag{97}$$

where the constants depend only on the choice of $\mathcal{W}$ and N. Finally, the Lemma follows from (97), inequality (86) of Lemma 7.2, and the continuity of the maps considered in Lemma 7.5 below. □

The following Lemma is used in the proof of Lemma 7.4.

Lemma 7.5. *The maps defined on* $\mathcal{W}$*,*

$$\varphi \mapsto (\tau_j(\varphi) - j\pi)_{|j| \geq N_0+1} \quad \textit{and} \quad \varphi \mapsto (\gamma_j^2(\varphi))_{|j| \geq N_0+1}$$

take values in l^2 *and as such are analytic.*

Proof. First, note that for any $|j| \geq N_0 + 1$, the mapping $\mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi \mapsto \gamma_j^2(\varphi)$, is analytic. As

$$\sum_{|j| \geq N_0+1} |\gamma_j^2|^2 \leq \Big(\sum_{|j| \geq N_0+1} |\gamma_j^2| \Big)^2$$

we obtain from Proposition 2.2 that the map $\varphi \mapsto (\gamma_j^2(\varphi))_{|j| \geq N_0+1}$ is locally bounded and hence analytic (see Theorem A.5 in [7]). The analyticity of the map $\varphi \mapsto (\tau_j(\varphi) - j\pi)_{|j| \geq N_0+1}$ is proved in a similar way. □

For $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ let $[a, b] = \{ta + (1 - t)b \,|\, t \in [0, 1]\}$ and let Γ be a C^1-smooth, simple, closed curve in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [a, b]$. The proof of the following lemma is straightforward and we omit it.

Lemma 7.6. *Let* f *be holomorphic in an open neighborhood of* $[a, b]$ *containing* Γ*. Then*

$$\left| \oint_\Gamma \frac{f(\lambda)}{\sqrt{(\lambda - a)(\lambda - b)}} \, d\lambda \right| \leq 2\pi \max_{\lambda \in [a,b]} |f(\lambda)| \,.$$

8 Appendix B: Period map

In this Appendix we state and prove a result on the periods of a family of meromorphic differentials on a compact Riemann surface which will be applied to prove Theorem 1.1. As this result is used at several instances we state it in a general form. Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 0$ with the following data.

$(D1)$ $C_0, ..., C_g$ are C^1-smooth, simple closed curves on Σ dividing it into two connected components $\Sigma^\pm$,

$$\Sigma^- \sqcup \Sigma^+ = \Sigma \setminus (\sqcup_{j=0}^g C_k)$$

which have the property that $C_k \cap C_l = \emptyset$ for any $0 \leq k, l \leq g$, $k \neq l$. Note that $C_0, ..., C_g$ are the boundary cycles of Σ^+ in Σ. The orientation of $C_0, ..., C_g$ is chosen to be the one induced from the orientation on Σ^+ given by the complex structure so that Stokes' formula holds on Σ^+.

$(D2)$ $\imath : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is a holomorphic involution with $\imath : \Sigma^\pm \to \Sigma^\mp$ and $\imath(C_k) = C_k$ for any $0 \leq k \leq g$ as sets in Σ.

$(D3)$ $P_1^+, ..., P_s^+$ are pairwise different points on Σ^+ and $P_j^- := \imath(P_j^+)$ for any $1 \leq j \leq s$.

$(D4)$ For any $1 \leq k \leq s$, C_{g+k} is a simple closed C^1-smooth curve around P_k^+ which bounds a (small) open disk U_{g+k}^+ containing P_k^+ so that the closed disks $\overline{U_{g+k}^+}$ $(1 \leq k \leq s)$ are contained in Σ^+ and pairwise disjoint. The orientation of C_{g+k} is induced from the orientation of U_{g+k}^+ so that Stokes' formula holds on U_{g+k}^+.

$(D5)$ $\eta_1, ..., \eta_{g+s}$ are *linearly independent* meromorphic differentials on Σ so that for any $1 \leq k \leq g+s$

$$\imath^*(\eta_k) = -\eta_k \quad \text{and} \quad (\eta_k) \geq -D \tag{98}$$

where D is the divisor on Σ given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} (P_j^- + P_j^+).$$

In particular, $(D5)$ means that the differentials η_k, $1 \leq k \leq g+s$, may have poles only at the points $P_{g+j}^\pm$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, and that all these poles (if any) are of first order.

Lemma 8.1. *Assume that* $(D1) - (D5)$ *hold. Then for any* $0 \leq k_0 \leq g+s$, *the* $(g+s) \times (g+s)$*-matrix*

$$X_{k_0} = \Big(\oint_{C_m} \eta_j \Big)_{1 \leq j \leq g+s, 0 \leq m \leq g+s, m \neq k_0}$$

is non-degenerate.

Proof. Assume that there exists $1 \leq k_0 \leq g+s$ so that the matrix X_{k_0} is degenerate. Then there exists a non-trivial linear combination,

$$\eta := \sum_{k=0}^{g+s} c_k \eta_k \quad \text{with} \quad c_k \in \mathbb{C}$$

so that

$$\oint_{C_m} \eta = 0 \quad \forall\, 0 \leq m \leq g+s, \; m \neq k_0 \,. \tag{99}$$

As $(\eta) \geq -D$, the Abelian differential η is holomorphic in the interior of $\Sigma^+ \setminus (\sqcup_{k=1}^{s} U_{g+k}^{+})$ and continuous on its boundary $\sqcup_{m=0}^{g+s} C_m$. By Stokes' formula,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{g} \oint_{C_m} \eta - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \oint_{C_{g+j}} \eta = 0\,.$$

Combined with (99) it then follows that

$$\oint_{C_{k_0}} \eta = 0\,.$$

This, together with (99) and $(\eta) \geq -D$, implies that η is holomorphic on Σ^+. Taking into account that by $(D5)$, $\imath^*(\eta_k) = -\eta_k$ for any $1 \leq k \leq g+s$, it then follows that η is a holomorphic differential on Σ. As $\oint_{C_m} \eta = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq g$ one then concludes that $\eta = 0$, contradicting the linear independence of η_k's assumed in $(D5)$. □

References

[1] M. Ablowitz, Y. Ma: *The periodic cubic Schrödinger equation*, Studies Appl. Math., **65**(1981), 113–158

[2] L. Ahlfors, L. Sario: Riemann surfaces, Princeton Mathematical Series, **26**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1960

[3] J. Feldman, H. Knörrer, E. Trubowitz: Riemann surfaces of infinite genus, CRM Monograph Series, **20**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2003

[4] B. Grébert, T. Kappeler, J. Pöschel: *Normal form theory for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, arXiv:0907.3938 [math.AP]

[5] T. Kappeler, P. Lohrmann, P. Topalov, N. Zung: *Birkhoff coordinates for the focusing NLS equation*, Commun. Math. Phys., **285**(2009), no. 3, 1087–1107

[6] T. Kappeler, P. Lohrmann, P. Topalov: *Focusing NLS and its Birkhoff coordinates*, in preparation

[7] T. Kappeler, J. Pöschel: KdV & KAM, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003

[8] T. Kappeler, C. Möhr, P. Topalov: *Birkhoff coordinates for KdV on phase space of distributions*, Selecta Math. (N.S.), **11** (2005), no. 1, 37–98

[9] T. Kappeler, F. Serier, P. Topalov: *On the characterization of the smoothness of skew-adjoint potentials in periodic Dirac operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **256** (2009), no. 7, 2069–2112

[10] T. Kappeler, P. Topalov: *Global well-posedness of KdV in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{R})$*, Duke Math. J., **135**(2006), no. 2, 327-360

[11] Y. Li, D. McLaughlin: *Morse and Mel'nikov functions for NLS PDEs*, Commun. Math. Phys., **162**(1994), no. 1, 175–214

[12] H. McKean, K. Vaninsky: *Action-angle variables for the cubic Schrödinger equation*, CPAM, **L**(1997), 489-562

[13] W. Müller, M. Schmidt, R. Schrader: *Hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces of infinite genus and solutions of the KdV equation*, Duke Math. J., **91**(1998), no. 2, 315-352

[14] J. Pöschel, E. Trubowitz: Inverse Spectral Theory, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1987